United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	OCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/645,887	08/20/2003	Paul E. Jacobs	000373D1	8187		
	7590 02/01/2007 INCORPORATED		EXAMINER			
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.	. -	ALVAREZ, RAQUEL			
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3622			
	· 					
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE NOTIFICATI		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
3 MONTHS		02/01/2007	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/01/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t_ssadik@qualcomm.com

-		Application	ication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/645,887	7	JACOBS ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Raquel Alva	arez	3622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 								
Status								
1)⊠ [′] R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>22 Au</i>	aust 2003.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	laim(s) is/are allowed.					·		
<u> </u>	laim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are rejected.							
	laim(s) is/are objected to.							
/ <u> </u>	laim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or	election re	quirement.		•		
Application	n Papers		·					
9)□ Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner						
•	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:			Tobjected to by the	Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	O-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Di				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/7/2005</u> . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/645,887

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 8/22/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-58 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are recite functional descriptive material (software/program per se).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 12 depends from itself. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marsh et al. (5,848,397 hereinafter Marsh) in view of Montague (6,298,332 hereinafter Montague).

Art Unit: 3622

With respect to claims 1, 4, 7, 9-10, 13-19, 24, 27, 31, 34-40, 45-50 Marsh teaches software for use on a client device that is configured for communications with at least one remote source of advertisements via a communications network (Abstract). An advertisement download function that downloads advertisements from at least one remote source, during one or more advertisements download sessions (see figure 4, item 601); an advertisement store function that stores the download advertisements on a storage medium associated with the client device (col. 14, lines 1-10); an advertisement display function that effects display of at least selected ones of the stored advertisements on a display associated with the client device (Figure 6, 702); an audit function that compiles as-related statistical data relating to the downloaded advertisements, wherein the ad-related statistical data includes display event-related data regarding advertisements that were displayed during a prescribed audit interval (col. 14, lines 66-, col. 15, lines 1-7); an audit data transmit function that transmits the ad-related statistical data to a prescribed server system (Figure 8 and col. 15, lines 10-

Marsh teaches sending the statistical data to the server (Figure 8 and col. 14, lines 66-, col. 15, lines 1-20). Marsh does not specifically teach sending the data only in response to a user's grant permission to do so. Montague teaches delivering vendor-supplied information from a purchase, the purchaser authorizing transmission of various data to a vendor server or a third party server (col. 9, lines 20-24). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included in the system of Marsh the teaching of Montague of sending the data only in

Art Unit: 3622

response to a user's grant permission to do so because such a modification would allow the users to have control of the data that is transmitted.

With respect to claims 2-3, 25-26 Marsh further teaches that the prescribed rollover intervals correspond to the prescribed audit intervals (col. 3, lines 12-27 and col. 7, lines 7-24).

With respect to claims 5 and 28, Marsh further teaches under a client policy transmitting a statistical sampling of a population of client devices, at prescribed times (col. 3, lines 12-27 and col. 7, lines 7-24).

Claims 6 and 29 further recite transmitting to random ones of population of client devices at prescribed times. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known to perform a function at random in order to protect the data been transmitted. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included transmitting the audit at random times in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

With respect to claims 8 and 32, Marsh further teaches that the audit data further includes user demographic data (col. 3, lines 12-27).

..

Art Unit: 3622

With respect to claims 20, 41 Marsh further teaches that the advertisement distribution server system is managed by a producer of the software (col. 3, lines 12-56).

With respect to claims 11-12, 21, 32-33, 42, Marsh further teaches that the advertisement distribution server system is managed by a distributor of the software (col. 3, lines 12-56).

With respect to claims 22, 43, Marsh further teaches that the communication network is the Internet (Figure 8, 107).

With respect to claims 23, 44, Marsh further teaches that the software is e-mail software (see Figure 8).

With respect to claims 51 and 53, Marsh further teaches that advertisement display function effects display when the client device is offline (col. 6, lines 63-, col. 7, lines 1).

With respect to claims 52, 54 Marsh further teaches that the advertisement display function effects display while the user is composing/reading e-mail messages (col. 7, lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 55-58 further recite that the audit data is transmitted at activated random times. Marsh teaches transmitting audit data (col. 14, lines 66-, col. 15, lines 1-7). Marsh does not specifically teach transmitting the audit data at random times. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known to perform a function at random in order to protect the data been transmitted. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included transmitting the audit at random times in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Point of contact

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w. Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raquel Alvarez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

R.A. 1/26/2007